	Case 3:08-cv-00016-BEN-LSP	Document 1	Filed 01/02/2008	Page 1 of 13
	FELIX CAMACHO ME	·····		
	V-12155		Children Children	·
Pr	SON NUMBER		FILED	1
1	RJDCF 480 ALTA ROAD		JAN - 2 2008	
Cυ	RRENT ADDRESS OR PLACE OF CONFINEMENT			·
	SAN DIEGO CA 92179	BY BY	U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY	2254 1983
Cin	Y, STATE, ZIP CODE		and produced divine the produced supplies to the last of the last	FILING FER PAR
				Yes No
	UNITED STA	ATES DISTI	RICT COURT	HPP MOTION FILE
		DISTRICT OF		Yes No V
				COPIES SENT TO
				Court ProSe_
		1	'08 CV 001	6 BEN ISP
1	FELIX CAMACHO	Civil No.	00 01 001	
(FULL	NAME OF PETITIONER)	-'	(TO BE FILLED IN BY CLERK OF U.S.	DISTRICT COURT)
	Ретітіо	NER	A management of an artist of the second of t	AND COME DO COME AND SAME
	v.		•	
F	ROBERT HERNANDEZ, WARDEN	Demi	TION FOR WRIT OF H	· PEAC CORNIC
PERSO	OF WARDEN, SUPERINTENDENT, JAILOR, OR AUTHORIZ NHAVING CUSTODY OF PETITIONER [E.G., DIRECTOR OF THE DRNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS])	ED.	TION FOR WARI OF II	ABEAS CORPUS
			UNDER 28 U.S.C. §	
	RESPONDI and	ENT	BY A PERSON IN STATE	CUSTODY
	anu			
		,		•
	Attomey General of the State of fornia, Additional Respondent.			
1.	Name and location of the court that	entered the judgm	nent of conviction and	ow attacle.
	SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT			er attack.
2.	Date of judgment of conviction: NO			
3.	Trial court case number of the judgm			
	SCD 168940			·
4.	Length of sentence: 35 yrs to	life		
				·
		•		
		•		
CIV	68 (Rev. Dec. 1998)	•	K:\CON	MMON\FORMS\CIV-68.

	Cas	ase 3:08-cv-00016-BEN-LSP Document 1	Filed 01/02/2008	Page 2 of 13
5.	•	ntence start date and projected release date:	?	
6.	Offe	fense(s) for which you were convicted or pleaded		07(a) ,1192.7
	2/35	5(a), 12032.7(a)		·
7.	Wha	at was your plea? (CHECK ONE)		
	(a)) Not guilty 🖾		
	(b)) Guilty	•	
	` ') Nolo contendere □	•	
8.		ou pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you h	nave? (CHECK ONE)	
) Jury □) Judge only ☑		
9.	-	l you testify at the trial? Yes □kNo		
		•		
10.	Did y 참가 Ye	DIRECT APPEA I you appeal from the judgment of conviction in the Yes No	•	Appeal?
•				
11.	If yo	ou appealed in the California Court of Appeal, a	answer the following:	
	(a)) Result: DENIED	ng tao ba	- •
-	(b)) Date of result, case number and citation, if know	wn: <u>D-043552</u> ,	
	(c)	Grounds raised on direct appeal. ABUSE OF D	ISCRECTION AT SE	NTENCING
	(-)	FAILURE TO STRIKE A PRIOR		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
.2.	Cour	ou sought further direct review of the decision on art (e.g., a Petition for Review), please answer the Result: PETITION DENIED		ia Supreme
	(b)	Date of result, case number and citation, if know SAME AS IN DIRECT APPEAL	vn:	
	(c)	Grounds raised: SAME AS ON APPEAL		
			•	
IV 68	B (Rev. [. Dec. 1998)	K:\COM	IMON\FORMS\CIV-68.
		-2-		

(a)	Result: NO
(b)	Date of result, case number and citation, if known:
(c)	Grounds raised:
•	·
	COLLATERAL REVIEW IN STATE COURT
prev: Corp	r than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you lously filed any petitions, applications, or motions (e.g., a Petition for Writ of Habea ous) with respect to this judgment in the California Superior Court ? les \(\sime\) No
If yo	ur answer to #14 was "Yes," give the following information:
(a)	California Superior Court Case Number: HC-18445
(b)	Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS COPUS
•	
(c)	Grounds raised: ILLEGAL SENTENCE
	•
(d)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? ☐ Yes XXXNo
(e)	Result: DENIED SEE ATTACHMENT "A"
. (f)	Date of result: MARCH 28, 2006
previ Corp	r than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you ously filed any petitions, applications, or motions (e.g., a Petition for Writ of Habeaus) with respect to this judgment in the California Court of Appeal? ES No

CIV 68 (Rev. Dec. 1998)

(b)	Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
(c)	Grounds raised: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AND THE EGAL LEGAL SENTENCE
(d)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? □ Yes 谷的o
(e)	Result: DENIED
(f)	Date of result: DECEMBER 28,2006
• •	
Corp	ously filed any petitions, applications, or motions (e.g., a Petition for Writ of Habeas us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? Solution of Property of Property of Supreme Court? Supreme Court? Supreme Court?
Corp Ye	us) with respect to this judgment in the <u>California Supreme Court</u> ? es \(\subseteq \text{No} \)
Corp Ye	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? es □ No ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information: California Supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
Corp Ye If yo (a) (b)	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? es □ No ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information: California Supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Grounds raised: TRIAL COURT ABUSED IT DISCRETION AND APPLICATION.
Corp Y Y (a) (b) (c)	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? es □ No ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information: California Supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Grounds raised: TRIAL COURT ABUSED IT DISCRETION AND APPLICATION THE THREE STRIKE LAW WAS ABUSED OF DISCRETION AND
Corp Y Y (a) (b) (c)	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? es □ No ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information: California Supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Grounds raised: TRIAL COURT ABUSED IT DISCRETION AND APPLICATION.
Corp Y Y (a) (b) (c)	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? es □ No ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information: California Supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Grounds raised: TRIAL COURT ABUSED IT DISCRETION AND APPLICATION THE THREE STRIKE LAW WAS ABUSED OF DISCRETION AND
Corp Ye (a) (b) (c) OI	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? cs
Corp Y Y (a) (b) (c)	ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information: California Supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Grounds raised: TRIAL COURT ABUSED IT DISCRETION AND APPLICATE THE THREE STRIKE LAW WAS ABUSED OF DISCRETION AND REFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
Corp Ye If you (a) (b) (c) OI In (d)	ur answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information: California Supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Grounds raised: TRIAL COURT ABUSED IT DISCRETION AND APPLICATE THE THREE STRIKE LAW WAS ABUSED OF DISCRETION AND NEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
Corp Y Y (a) (b) (c) (If your conditions of the	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? It answer to #18 was "Yes," give the following information: California Supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Grounds raised: TRIAL COURT ABUSED IT DISCRETION AND APPLICATE THE THREE STRIKE LAW WAS ABUSED OF DISCRETION AND REFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes XXNO Result.
Corp Ye If you (a) (b) (c) OI In (d)	us) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? The supreme Court Case Number: S152681 Nature of proceeding: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS Grounds raised: TRIAL COURT ABUSED IT DISCRETION AND APPLICA THE THREE STRIKE LAW WAS ABUSED OF DISCRETION AND REFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? YES NANO Result DENIED

CIV 68 (Rev. Dec. 1998)

20.	If you did <i>not</i> file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the <u>California Supreme Court</u> , containing the grounds
	raised in this federal Petition, explain briefly why you did not:
	NOT APPLICABLE
	COLLATERAL REVIEW IN FEDERAL COURT
	s this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \) (If "Yes" Skip to #22)
	(a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed?
	(i) What was the prior case number?
	☐ Denied on the merits?
	☐ Dismissed for procedural reasons?
	(iii) Date of decision:(b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition?
-	Yes No
	 (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? □ Yes □ No
- v -	er en state de financia. Outre de mandre de la companyation
CAU	CION:
	Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds by raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other grounds to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition.
•	Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date.
•	Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is — state who did exactly what to violate your federal constitutional rights at what time or place.

	State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the constitution, law or treaties of the United States. Summarize briefly the facts
	supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and/or facts supporting each ground.
	VIOLATION OF THE SIXTH AMENDMENT : THE APPLICATION
	(a) GROUND ONE:
	OF THE THREE STRIKE LAW ON OUT OF STATE CASE MORE THEN 20 YEARS
	IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND ABUSE OF DISCRETION.
	Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law)
	THE TRIAL COURT APPLYING THE THREE STRIKE LAW ON THE PETITIONE
	FOR A CASE THAT WAS MORE THEN 20 YEARS OLD AND WHEN THE PETITION
	WAS ONLY A MINOR AND CALIFORNIA DOES NOT RECOGNIZE THE LEGAL
	THEORY USED IN THE FLORIDA CASE AND THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT
•	NO LONGER RECOGNIZED THE THEORY INWHICH THE PETITIONER WAS
•	CONVICTED WHEN HE WAS A MINOR IS A VIOLATION OF THE SIXTH
	AMENDMENT AND ABUSE OF DISCRETION OF THE TRIAL COURT.
•	
•	
•	
•	
•	
•	
•	
•	

ıp	porting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law):
	PETITIONER HAD TOLD HIS APPELLATE ATTORNEY THAT HE DID NOT
	SPEAK ENGLISH AND UNDERSTOOD VERY LITTLE TO NONE, THAT SPANISH
	WAS IS LANGUAGE AND THAT HE VERY LIMITED EDUCATION. THE PETITI
,	PROCEEDED TO TELL THE APPELLATE ATTORNEY OF THE FACT THAT THE
	TRIAL COUNSEL HAD LIED TO HIM SO HE WOULD TAKE THE PLEA. THE
	APPELLATE ATTORNEY NEVER REPLY TO PETITIONERS LETTERS.
	PETITIONER HAS THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO BRING A MERIT
	ISSUE BEFORE THE COURT OF APPEALS.
_	
_	
-	
_	
_	And the second s
	A STATE OF THE STA
_	
_	
_	

	RIAL	COUR	T ABI	JSE	ITS	DISC	CRETI	ON WHI	EN I	T SE	NTENC	E THE	PETIT	<u> [O</u>
						HIS	PRIO	R WHE	RE O	F A	SINGL	E ACT	AND	_
10RE	THEN	20 Y	EARS	OLD	•							<u>.</u>		_
				•	•									_
	•								= 					_
	• .													_
						.=			.*		• • •			_
									:					_
														_
						, ut/,								_
				,	•	1.								_
										-			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
														-
									· ·					-
			•								 	•,		•
••		·	-				-			· ;	<u>-</u> -		. ,	-
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>* .</u>	* * * * * * *		<u> </u>		-
								·				•		-
			•					·····						-
<u> </u>		<u> </u>							.					-
												·		-
			`									•		-
	· ·					<u>-</u>				·		<u>-</u>		-
	 					·		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····					-

	NER RECE BARGAIN									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Supporting	FACTS (st	ate brief	h withou	t oitin		0= 101	۸.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	THE TRIA	, -		•	-		•			
	TIONER I									
F HE EN	TERED IN	TO THE	PRIOR	ALS	ОНЕ	WOUL	D NOT	REC	EIVE	A LIFE
SENTENCE	, BECAU	SE HIS	PREVI	ous	CRIME	WAS	WHEN	HE	WAS A	MINOR
AND MORE	THEN 20	YEARS	OLD.	·			,	••		
						-				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								·		,
•								·		
· -			*							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						,		· ·	 .	
	 						·			
	1484-2								<u>-</u>	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			:		·				Nije
·• ·	•	1-01-01-01	 		-				· · · · ·	
	•		-				·			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>		
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	 ,					·	·		
								· 		
							 			
	· <u>-</u>	,			·					
· · · ·		· ;		·	·				·	•
		<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		 				:				

T£ 7/0	4- 400 - 657 - 11 - 11 - 12 - 13
	our answer to #23 is "Yes," give the following information:
(a)	
(b)	
(c)	
(d)	Nature of proceeding: PETITION FOR ORDER OF PRIOR TRANSCRIPTS
(e)	Grounds raised:
•	
(f)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? ☐ Yes X∃No
(f)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? ☐ Yes X∃No
	☐ Yes x⅓No
Give	☐ Yes X☐No the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following
Give stage	The name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following s of the judgment attacked herein:
Give stage	☐ Yes X☐No the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following
Give stage (a)	The name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following s of the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing:
Give stage (a) (b)	The name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following sof the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing: NONE At arraignment and plea:
Give stage (a) (b)	The name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following sof the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing: NONE At arraignment and plea: At trial:
Give stage (a) (b)	The name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following sof the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing: NONE At arraignment and plea: At trial:
Give stage (a) (b)	The name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following sof the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing: NONE At arraignment and plea: At trial: At sentencing:
Give stage (a) (b) (c) (d)	the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following s of the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing: NONE At arraignment and plea: At trial: At sentencing:
Give stage (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	The name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following sof the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing: NONE At arraignment and plea: At trial: On appeal:
Give stage (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following s of the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing: NONE At arraignment and plea: At trial: At sentencing:
Give stage (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)	The name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following sof the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing: NONE At arraignment and plea: At trial: On appeal:

26.	Were indic	e 3:08-cv-00016-BEN-LSP Document 1 Filed 01/02/2008 Page 11 of 13 you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one tment, in the same court and at the same time?
	⊔ Y¢	es 🗆XIXIo
27.	Judgi	ou have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the nent under attack?
	•	es 🖾 No
	(a)	If so, give name and location of court that imposed sentence to be served in the future:
`.	(b)	Give date and length of the future sentence:
		Have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to be served in the future? ☐ Yes XX No
28.	Date:	you are mailing (or handing to a correctional officer) this Petition to this court:
Whe this	erefore procee	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (IF ANY)
I dec	lare u	nder penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on
		(DATE) SIGNATURE OF PETITIONER

VERIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

(C.C.P. SEC. 440 & 2015.5; 20 U.S.C. SEC. 1740)
I, FELY CAMACO DECLARE UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT: I AM THE Declarant/Prisoner IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED ACTION; I HAVE READ THE FOREGOING DOCUMENTS AND KNOW THE CONTENTS THEREOF AND THE SAME IS TRUE OF MY OWN KNOWLEDGE, EXCEPT AS TO MATTERS STATED THEREIN UPON INFORMATION, AND BELIEF, AND AS TO THOSE MATTERS, I BELIEVE THEM TO BE TRUE.
EXECUTED THIS Dec 29th DAY OF December, AT R.J.D. STATE PRISON, 480 Alta Road, San Diego, CA 92179 (SIGNATURE) (RECLARAMIPRISONER)
PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL
(C.C.P. SEC. 1013 (a) & 2015.5; 28 U.S.C. SEC. 1746)
OF S.D. STATE OF CALIFORNIA; I AM OVER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN (18) YEARS OF AGE AND AMIAM NOT A PARTY OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED ACTION. MY STATE PRISON ADDRESS IS:
400 ALTA ROAD Van Diejo CA 92175.
ON 12-30-07 I SERVED THE FOREGOING:
WRIT OF HABOR CORPUS
(SET FORTH EXACT TITLE OF DOCUMENTIS SERVED) ON THE PARTY(S) HEREIN BY PLACING A TRUE COPY(S) THEREOF, ENCLOSED IN A SEALED ENVELOPE (S), WITH POSTAGE THEREON FULLY PAID, IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL, IN A DEPOSIT BOX SO PROVIDED AT Richad J. Donovan Correctional Facility
U.S.D.C
SOUTHERN OUTRUT COURT
S80 Front STREET
2MUZGO
San Diego CA 92(0)
THERE IS DELIVERY SERVICE BY UNITED STATES MAIL AT THE PLACE SO ADDRESSED, AND THERE IS REGULAR COMMUNICATION BY MAIL BETWEEN THE PLACE OF MAILING AND THE PLACE SO

JS44											
(Rev. 07/89)				ER SHE						FILED	
The JS-44 civil cover sheet and trules of court. This form, approvement. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS Countries of the court of the c	ved by the Judicial Conference of	f the United States in S	plement t eptember	he filing and ser 1974, is require	vice of plead d for the use	dings e of th	or other paper he Clerk of Co	s as require urt for the	ed by lav purpuse	of initiating the civil docket JAN - 2 2008	
I (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFEN	DANIS						JAN 2 2000	
Felix Camacho FILIN Yes (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED San Diego			No				CLEAK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ROBERT HERNALD DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY RST LISTED DEFENDANT				
PLAINTIFF		lego IFP MC	Tio	FILED	ENCE OF F LAINTIFF	CASI	' LISTED DE ES ONLY)	FENDANI	_	·	
(EXCEPT IN U.S.	PLAINTIFF CASES)	Yes	HOTE		DEMNATIO	ON CA	ASES, USE TH	IE LOCAT	'ION OF	THE TRACT OF LAND	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM	1E, ADDRESS, AND TELEPH	I .	APTOR	NEYS (IF HN	OWN)					X	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) Felix Camacho PO Box 799003 San Diego, CA 92179 V-12155				Se	80°	C	V 00	16	BEN	N LSP	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)				III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX (For Diversity Cases Only) FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT							
□ IU.S. Government Plaintiff	nt Plaintiff			of This State		DEP PT DEF					
2U.S. Government Defendant	izenship of Parties in	Citizen on Subject of a Ferriam				2 □2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business □5 □5 in Another State 3 □3 Foreign Nation □6 □6					
IV. CAUSE OF ACTION (CIT JURISDICTIONAL STATUTI				TLING AND V	RITE A BI	RIEF	STATEMEN	T OF CAU	USE. De	D NOT CITE	
V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLAC	E AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)										
CONTRACT	TO	RTS		FORFEITU	RE/PENALTY	′	BANK	RUPTCY		OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJU	PERSONAL INJURY		610 Agriculture		422 Appeal 2	USC 158	ļ.	400 State Reappointment	
☐ Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury-	al Injury- 620 Other Food & Drug 423 Withdrawal 28 LISC 157 410 Antitrust		410 Antitrust						
Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Liability	Medical Malpractice		625 Drug Rela			PROPER	TY RIGHTS		430 Banks and Banking	
Negotiable Instrument	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC881			R20 Copyrights		Е	450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	
L50 Recovery of Overpayment &Enforcement of Judgment	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal I	njury 630 Liquor Laws			L	830 Patent			460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
151 Medicare Act	340 Marine	Product Liability	nors/	650 Airline Regs			SOCIAL SECURITY				
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)		PERSONAL PROPI	LKIY	1	nal Safety/Heal	th	861 HIA (139		L	810 Selective Service	
_	L	370 Other Fraud	LABOR			862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))			850 Securities/Commodities Exchange		
153Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle				710Fair Labor Standards Act				L-	R75 Customer Challenge 12 USC	
☐ 160 Stockholders Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	380 Other Personal Property Damage		720 Labor/Mgmt.			☐ 864 SSID Titl			3 891 Agricultural Acts	
Other Contract	360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		730 Labor/Mg	mt Reporting á	.		TAX SUIT	_	392 Economic Stabilization Act	
195 Contract Product Liability	500 Other Versonal Injury	Product Liability		Disclosure Act		•	870 Taxes (U	S. Plaintiff		3 893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETIT	IONS	740 Railway L	abor Act		or Defendant)		þ	894 Energy Allocation Act	
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacate	Sentence	790 Other Lab	or Litigation		871 IRS - Thi	rd Party	ļ	895 Freedom of Information Act	
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Habeas Corpus		791 Empl. Ret	. Inc.		26 USC 7609		F	900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	
230 Rent Lease & Electmant		⊠ 530 General		Security Act						L	
240 Tort to Land	444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty	···						L	950 Constitutionality of State	
245 Tort Product Liability	440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus & Other							ŀ	890 Other Statutory Actions	
290 All Other Real Property	<u> </u>	550 Civil Rights		l			<u> </u>				
VI. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X II	N ONE BOX ONLY)	-									
☑ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 R			teinstated	□5 Transfe	rred from rict (specify)		6 Multidistrict	Litigation		Appeal to District Judge from strate Judgment	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	D	Check YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: □ YES □NO						•			
ACTION UNDER f.r.c.p. 23 VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See Instructions): JUDGE Docket Number											
DATE 1/2/20	008	,		SIGNATURE	OF ATTOR	NEY	OF RECORD)			